

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,792	04/17/2001	Ahti Muhonen	309-010118-US(PAR)	6794
Ralph D. Gelli	7590 07/20/2007		EXAM	INER
Perman & Green, LLP			FOX, BRYAN J	
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	09/836,792	MUHONEN, AHTI		
	Bryan J. Fox	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed <u>5/21/07</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond☐ ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance we brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period hever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	ChAppel
(1) Reinhard J. Eisenzopf. P.E.	CHARLES N. APPIAH (3) <u>Charles Appiah</u> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2) Brvan J. Fox.	(4)